

**1985 Annual Statistical Report
of the Furlough Program**

Prepared by:

**Patricia Tobin
Program Manager I**

Massachusetts Department of Correction

**Michael V. Fair
Commissioner**

July, 1986

**PUBLICATION #:14506-47-250-7-17-86 C.R.
Approved by Daniel Carter, State Purchasing Agent**

ABSTRACT

The furlough program has been in operation in the Massachusetts Department of Correction since November 6, 1972. A total of 106,378 furloughs have been granted between the inception of the program and December 31, 1985. During that period, 634 of those furloughs resulted in escapes (a resident failing to return to his/her correctional facility within two hours of the appointed time of return), thus yielding an overall furlough escape rate of 0.6 percent or 6 escapes per 1,000 furloughs granted. The present report contains a statistical description of the number, distribution and outcomes of furloughs for the year 1985 as well as a trend analysis of furloughs since the inception of the program in 1972.

From January 1, 1985 to December 31, 1985, a total of 9,492 furloughs were granted. The number of individuals receiving furloughs in 1985 was 1,621. For every 1,000 furloughs granted in 1985 two ended in escape. Eighteen individuals -- about one percent of the inmates furloughed -- were declared on furlough escape during that period.

From the inception of the furlough program through 1982 there was a gradual decline in the number of furloughs granted, the number of offenders furloughed, and the percentage of the released population participating in the furlough program prior to release. The escape rate showed a parallel decline during this period. However, starting in 1983 and extending into 1985, the number of furloughs granted and the number of individuals furloughed increased while the trends in the percentage of the released population furloughed and the escape rate continued to decline. The decline in the proportion participating in the furlough program prior to release was attributed to the increasing number of women and other offenders with short sentences in the released population. The decline in escapes was related to a relatively recent change in the type of furlough granted at secure institutions, i.e., in recent years furloughs from secure institutions were less likely to be unescorted than in the past, thereby reducing the opportunity for escape.

Table of Contents

Title	Page
Abstract	i
Introduction	1
Statistical Fact Sheet on the Massachusetts Furlough Program 1985	3
Table I: Furloughs for 1985 by Furlough Institution and Security Level	5
Table II: Furlough Escape Rate by Type of Furlough and Security Level of Furlough Institution, 1985	6
Table III: Percent of Average Monthly Institutional Population Furloughed in 1985	8
Furlough Statistics Since the Inception of the Program	10
Table IV: Summary Furlough Statistics for the Years 1972 to 1985	11
Table V: Releases by Furlough Participation 1973-1985	12
Table VI: Furloughs and Escape Rates by Furlough Institution, 1984 and 1985	14
Table VII: Percentage of Average Monthly Population Furloughed by Security Level 1974-1985	16
Footnotes	18
Appendix I: Furlough and Furlough Escape Rates by Institution 1973 to 1984	20
Appendix II: Furlough Escape Rates by Furlough Variables, Offense Characteristics, Personal Background, and Criminal History, 1985	24

Introduction

This report presents a statistical description of furloughs granted by the Massachusetts Department of Correction for the year 1985. Contained in this report are trends in the number of furloughs per year and furlough outcomes from the inception of the program in 1972 until the end of the year 1985.

A furlough is considered by the Department of Correction to be an "extension of the limits of the place of confinement for a trustworthy resident" of a state correctional facility. The Department regards the furlough program as a means by which residents may maintain or re-establish direct ties with the communities from which they have come and, therefore, to be consistent with its policy of community reintegration. Furloughs may be granted for medical purposes, for contacting prospective employers, for securing living accommodations preparatory to release, or for attending a relative's funeral; but, most commonly, they are granted for family visits. Residents meeting the general eligibility criteria are allowed by statute 14 furlough days per furlough year; in practice they receive seven days during the first half of the year and seven days during the second half of the year. A resident's furlough year runs for 12 consecutive months from the date of the resident's initial furlough; each successive furlough year commences on the anniversary date of the initial furlough.

There are basically three types of furlough: earned, emergency and escorted. By far the most common type of furlough is the earned furlough. Emergency furloughs are approved for residents when a serious or personal situation arises that requires their immediate presence in the community. Escorted furloughs are emergency furloughs granted to a resident who requires close supervision while in

the community. In 1985, 98 percent of all furloughs were earned. The median number of hours of leave per furlough was 24 hours, but the actual number of hours furloughed ranged from one to 87 hours.

The report is divided into two sections. The first section covers relevant furlough statistics for the year 1985. The second section presents a brief overview of the Massachusetts furlough program and provides comparisons of furlough characteristics over a ten to twelve year period. The tables which follow in the two Appendixes present trends in escape rates by institution between 1973 and 1984, and the number of furloughs, the percent distribution of furloughs, and escape rates by inmate social characteristics, offense variables, criminal history variables and furlough variables for 1985. In these tables and throughout the document the escape rate refers to every 100 furloughs ending in escape. In most instances, less than one furlough in every 100 resulted in an escape producing extremely low escape rates such as 0.1 percent or one escape per 1,000 furloughs.

The data for the tables in this report were derived from the computerized data base developed by the Correction and Parole Management Information System (CAPMIS) and were produced on the Regent's Computer Network.

**Statistical Fact Sheet on the Massachusetts Department
of Correction Furlough Program - 1985**

1985 Statistics

From January 1, 1985 to December 31, 1985, total furloughs granted were 9,492. The number of individuals furloughed in 1985 was 1,621. The median number of furloughs per furloughed offender was five; the actual number of furloughs ranged from one to 89. Eight offenders went out on furlough more than 25 times during 1985, while 321 offenders were furloughed only once. Half of the furloughed population received five or more furloughs, and 50 percent took fewer than five furloughs in 1985.

Escape Rate by Furlough - 1985

Department of Correction policy defines a furlough escape as failure to return to a correctional facility within two hours of the designated time of return.¹ The Massachusetts Department of Correction, as a matter of policy, recognizes two classes of furlough escape: those furloughs which result in voluntary returns within 24 hours of the designated reporting time ("late overs" or technical escapes); and those which constitute involuntary returns or failure to return after 24 hours. Out of the 9,492 furloughs granted in 1985, there were 18 incidents of official furlough escapes (8 late overs and 10 actual escapes) resulting in an escape rate of 0.2 percent -- two furlough escapes per 1,000 furloughs.

Escape Rate by Inmate Furloughed in 1985

Of the 1,621 offenders furloughed in 1985, 18 were declared on escape status. The furlough outcome for individuals resulted in an escape rate of 1.1 indicating

that approximately one offender escaped for every 100 offenders furloughed. Eight of the 18 furloughed individuals declared on escape in 1985 returned voluntarily within 24 hours after their designated time of return ("late overs"). The median number of "successful" furloughs per inmate was four.

Late Returns for 1985

For 1985, there were 152 furloughs which were classified as late returns (returned within two hours of the appointed time of return). The 152 late returns involved 134 individuals. These 134 offenders all returned voluntarily within two hours of their designated time of return. Fifteen offenders were reported to have multiple late returns from furloughs in 1985.

Furloughs by Security Level - 1985

During 1985, 176 furloughs were from maximum or medium security institutions, representing two percent of the furloughs granted in 1985. There were 1,846 furloughs (19 percent) granted from minimum security institutions, 2,824 furloughs from mixed minimum/pre-release institutions (30 percent), and 4,646 furloughs (49 percent) granted from pre-release facilities in 1985. Table I presents information on furloughs by security level for 1985 along with the corresponding escape rates for each furlough institution.² Seven of the 18 furlough escapes were associated with furloughs from mixed security institutions and seven were associated with furloughs from pre-release centers.

Table II indicates that in 1985 furloughs from secure institutions were almost exclusively emergency or escorted furloughs; those from MCI-Framingham and Southeastern Correctional Center were exceptions to this pattern. The type of

Table I

Furloughs for the Year 1985 by Furlough Institution and Security Level

Institution/Security	Furloughs	Escape Rate
<u>Maximum and Medium</u>		
Cedar Junction	19	0.0
Concord	13	0.0
Framingham	52	0.0
Norfolk	40	0.0
SECC	39	0.0
NCCI	13	0.0
Total	176	0.0
<u>Minimum</u>		
Medfield	170	0.0
Bay State	1077	0.2
NCC	599	0.3
Total	1846	0.2
<u>Minimum/Pre-Release</u>		
Plymouth	390	0.5
Warwick	350	0.6
Shirley	1334	0.2
Lancaster	711	0.0
Longwood	39	0.0
Total	2824	0.2
<u>Pre-Release</u>		
Boston State	889	0.1
Brooke House	327	0.3
Coolidge I	530	0.0
THP	286	0.3
Norfolk Pre-Release	455	0.0
McGrath House *	200	0.0
Coolidge II	185	0.0
Drug Rehabilitation	1	0.0
South Middlesex	575	0.2
Park Drive	374	0.5
Hillside PRC	684	0.1
Charlotte House	140	0.0
Total	4646	0.2
GRAND TOTAL	9492	0.2

* Was 577 House

Table II

Furlough Escape Rate by Type of Furlough
and Security Level of Furlough Institution, 1985

<u>Furlough Institution</u>	Furloughs								
	<u>Unescorted</u>			<u>Escorted</u>			<u>Total</u>		
	<u>N</u>	<u>Esc</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>Esc</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>Esc</u>	<u>Rate</u>
<u>Maximum & Medium</u>									
Cedar Junction	0	0	0.0	19	0	0.0	19	0	0.0
Concord	0	0	0.0	13	0	0.0	13	0	0.0
Framingham	47	0	0.0	5	0	0.0	52	0	0.0
Norfolk	0	0	0.0	40	0	0.0	40	0	0.0
SECC	24	0	0.0	15	0	0.0	39	0	0.0
NCCI	0	0	0.0	13	0	0.0	13	0	0.0
<u>Sub-Total</u>	71	0	0.0	105	0	0.0	176	0	0.0
<u>Minimum</u>	1841	4	0.2	5	0	0.0	1846	4	0.2
<u>Minimum/ Pre-Release</u>	2776	7	0.2	48	0	0.0	2824	7	0.2
<u>Pre-Release</u>	4641	7	0.2	5	0	0.0	4646	7	0.2
Total	9329	18	0.2	163	0	0.0	9492	18	0.2

furlough taken explains the current differential in escape rates by security level. In past years (mid to late 1970's) unescorted furloughs were granted more liberally at medium and maximum security institutions.

Proportion of Releases Participating in Furlough Program - 1985

From January 1, 1985, to December 31, 1985, the Department of Correction released an estimated 2,331 residents to the community. Of that total, 654 (28 percent) had received one or more furloughs before release. The remaining 72 percent of the individuals released had not participated in the furlough program during their incarceration. The percent of released inmates furloughed before release to the community remained approximately the same from 1982 to 1985. However, the actual number of offenders receiving furloughs prior to release increased by 62 percent between 1982 and 1985. The furlough participation of the 1985 released population varied according to the security level of the releasing institution as follows: 70 percent of those released from pre-release facilities had been furloughed prior to release; 35 percent of those released from mixed security had been furloughed; 32 percent of those released from minimum security facilities had been furloughed; and 10 percent of those released from medium or maximum security institutions had been furloughed before release.

Inmates Furloughed Per Average Monthly Population - 1985

Out of an average monthly population of 5,220 an average of 550 individuals per month received a furlough in 1985. The average number of inmates furloughed ranged from a low of 448 in January to a high of 686 in December. Ten percent of the average monthly population was furloughed per month. The majority of the population (72 percent) was housed in secure institutions during an average month

Table III

Percent of Average Monthly Institutional Population Furloughed in 1985

Institution/Security	Average Monthly Population	Average # of Inmates Furloughed Per Month	Percent of Population Furloughed
<u>Maximum and Medium</u>			
Cedar Junction	681	1	(0.1)
Concord	691	1	(0.1)
Framingham	234	4	(1.3)
Norfolk	1133	3	(0.3)
SECC	371	3	(0.8)
NCCI	624	1	(0.2)
Sub-Total Secure	3734	13	(0.3)
<u>Minimum</u>			
NCC	184	45	(24.4)
Bay State C.C.	144	65	(45.1)
Medfield	34	11	(32.4)
Sub-Total Minimum	362	121	(33.4)
<u>Minimum/Pre-Release</u>			
Plymouth	148	23	(15.5)
Warwick	78	23	(29.5)
Shirley	306	89	(29.1)
Lancaster	117	48	(41.0)
Longwood	49	3	(6.1)
Sub-Total Min/PR	698	186	(26.6)
<u>Pre-Release</u>			
Boston State	55	46	(83.6)
MHI	105	70	(66.7)
Norfolk Pre-Release	52	20	(38.5)
Drug Rehabilitation	5	0	(0.0)
South Middlesex	101	36	(35.6)
Park Drive	54	22	(40.7)
Hillside PRC	40	28	(70.0)
Charlotte House	14	8	(57.1)
Sub-Total Pre-Release	426	230	(54.0)
Total Daily Average	5220	550	(10.5)

in 1985, and less than one half of one percent (0.3 percent) of the average monthly maximum/medium security population received a furlough that year. A third (33.4 percent) of the minimum security population and slightly over 50 percent of the pre-release population received furloughs during a typical month in 1985. Table III presents the information on the number of individuals furloughed in proportion to the average population for 1985.

Furlough Statistics Since the Inception of the Program

The furlough program for residents of Massachusetts correctional facilities has been operating since November 6, 1972. Between that date and December 31, 1985, a total of 106,378 furloughs have been granted. On 634 occasions individuals failed to return to their respective correctional facility within two hours of their appointed time of return. Thus, since the inception of the program there has been an overall escape rate of six escapes per 1,000 furloughs or 0.6 percent.

Table IV presents a summary of furlough statistics for the years 1972 to 1985. Included in the table is information on the number of furloughs, the number of individuals furloughed, the number of escapes and corresponding escape rates. As Table IV shows, the escape rate by furlough has gradually declined from almost two escapes per 100 furloughs in 1973 to two escapes per 1000 furloughs in 1985. Similarly, the escape rate by individual has also decreased during this period from a high of 7.6 to a low of 0.6.

While the number of individuals furloughed each year generally declined since the inception of the program, in 1983 the trend shifted and the number of furloughed offenders started to increase. Overall, however, the numbers of individuals furloughed decreased 13 percent between 1973 and 1985 from 1,868 offenders to 1,621 offenders. The years with the fewest furloughed offenders were 1980 and 1982. The escape rate by individual furloughed followed a similar pattern of steady decline over the same period except for a slight aberration in 1981.

Along with these trends the percentage of offenders released to the street who had participated in the furlough program prior to their release was also decreasing. Table V presents the data for the percentage of released offenders who participated in the furlough program prior to their release. From 1973 to

Table IV

Summary Furlough Statistics for the Years 1972 to 1985

Year	Number of Furloughs	Individuals Furloughed	Number of Escapes	Escape Rate by Individual	Escape Rate by Furlough
1972 ³	1182	793	8	1.0	0.7
1973	7195	1868	134	7.2	1.9
1974	8322	1668	127	7.6	1.5
1975	8680	1482	88	5.9	1.0
1976	7985	1305	40	3.1	0.5
1977	8199	1355	42	3.1	0.5
1978	9062	1248	38	3.0	0.4
1979	8040	1232	38	3.1	0.5
1980	8654	1193	24	2.0	0.3
1981	8196	1248	34	2.7	0.4
1982	6307	1198	12	1.0	0.2
1983	6710	1295	21	1.6	0.3
1984	8354	1546	10	0.6	0.1
1985	9492	1621	18	1.1	0.2
TOTAL	106,378	9634⁴	634	6.6	0.6

Table VReleases by Sex and Furlough Participation
1973 - 1985

Year Released	Total Releases	% Furloughed Prior to Release	Men Released		Women Released	
			No.	% Furloughed	No.	% Furloughed
1973	966	(69)	---	---	---	---
1974	911	(74)	---	---	---	---
1975	926	(59)	835	---	91	---
1976	1029	(51)	904	---	125	---
1977	1193	(50)	1032	---	161	---
1978	1133	(49)	1002	---	131	---
1979	1124	(42)	955	---	169	---
1980	1015	(40)	799	(47)	216	(12)
1981	1118	(41)	849	(51)	269	(8)
1982	1440	(30)	962	(43)	478	(4)
1983	1976	(32)	1405	(42)	571	(5)
1984	2125	(30)	1468	(42)	657	(6)
1985	2331	(28)	1616	(38)	715	(5)

* Data are not available by sex for these years.

1985 the number of releases more than doubled. However, during this time the percentage of individuals released who had participated in the furlough program decreased from 69 percent to 28 percent. The annual furlough statistics indicate two parallel trends: (1) a decreasing rate of furlough escape; and (2) each year, a decreasing proportion of the released population participating in the furlough program prior to release.

The low percentage of the population furloughed before release in 1985 can be attributed, in part, to the increasing proportion of women in the released population over the past few years.⁶ In 1975 ten percent of the released population were women; their percentage has gradually increased until women represented 29 percent of the released population in 1983 and 31 percent in 1985 (Table V). Historically a disproportionate number of women have been released without the benefit of furloughs because of their short sentences; this is especially true of women released from Framingham after serving county sentences. In 1985, five percent of the released women received furloughs prior to release in contrast to 38 percent of the released men. Thus, these two factors--the increasing proportion of women in the released population and the disproportionate number of them that are released without furlough experience--help to account for the low overall furlough rate (28 percent) among 1985 releases in Table V.

Table VI compares furlough statistics by furlough institution and security level for 1984 and 1985. Little change occurred over the two year period. Furlough statistics for earlier years are presented in Appendix I for the years 1973 to 1976, 1977 to 1980, and 1981 to 1984, respectively. In these earlier years, for purposes of consistency, institutions with mixed security classifications were reported as either minimum or pre-release depending on their original security

Table VI

Furloughs and Escape Rates by Furlough
Institution, 1984 and 1985

<u>Furlough Institution</u>	1984		1985	
	Furloughs	Escape Rate	Furloughs	Escape Rate
Maximum & Medium				
Cedar Junction	23	0.0	19	0.0
Concord	15	0.0	13	0.0
Framingham	41	0.0	52	0.0
Norfolk	39	0.0	40	0.0
SECC	68	0.0	39	0.0
NCCI	34	0.0	13	0.0
<u>Subtotal</u>	220	0.0	176	0.0
Minimum				
Medfield	189	0.0	170	0.0
Bay State	1116	0.0	1077	0.2
NCC	496	0.0	599	0.3
<u>Subtotal</u>	1801	0.0	1846	0.2
Minimum/Pre-Release				
Plymouth	336	0.3	390	0.5
Warwick	250	0.4	350	0.6
Shirley	1034	0.0	1334	0.2
Lancaster	636	0.0	711	0.0
Longwood	---	---	39	0.0
<u>Subtotal</u>	2256	0.1	2824	0.2
Pre-Release				
Boston State	568	0.0	889	0.1
Brooke House	336	0.0	327	0.3
Coolidge I	545	0.4	530	0.0
THP	397	0.3	286	0.3
Norfolk PR	397	0.0	455	0.0
McGrath House	234	0.0	200	0.0
Coolidge II	190	0.5	185	0.0
Drug Rehab	5	0.0	1	0.0
South Middlesex	433	0.7	575	0.2
Park Drive	343	0.3	374	0.5
Hillside PRC	408	0.0	684	0.1
Charlotte House	221	0.0	140	0.0
<u>Subtotal</u>	4077	0.1	4646	0.2
Grand Total	8354	0.1	9492	0.2

classification. Hence, MCI-Warwick and MCI-Plymouth were reported as minimum, and MCI-Shirley and MCI-Lancaster were reported as pre-release facilities. Furlough statistics for 1984 are reported in Table VI and in Appendix I according to both security breakdowns. In terms of examining furlough trends, minimum, mixed and pre-release facilities can all be considered "lower security" institutions.

The number of furloughs granted inmates in maximum and medium security institutions has steadily declined over the years, while the number of furloughs granted to residents of lower security institutions has increased during the same period with the exception of 1982 and 1983. The number of furloughs taken by residents in minimum security institutions has fluctuated over the last 13 years as a result of opening and closing of institutions designated as minimum security. In general the number of furloughs granted to residents at all levels of security dropped noticeably in 1982 and 1983 and then increased again in subsequent years. The corresponding escape figures indicate that the escape rate also has decreased since the inception of the furlough program, and this is especially apparent in maximum and medium security institutions. This trend reflects a decline in unescorted furloughs from secure institutions after 1980. A constantly low overall escape rate has prevailed in pre-release centers from 1975 through 1985.

Table VII compares the proportion of the average monthly population receiving furloughs for the years 1974 through 1985. The proportion of the population furloughed each year is figured for the total average monthly population and the security level of the institutions from which the furlough occurred.⁷

As Table VII indicates, the percentage of the population in maximum and medium security institutions which received furloughs in a typical month decreased from 15.2 percent in 1974 to 0.3 percent in 1985. The 1985 percentage of the

Table VII

Percentage of Average Monthly Population Furloughed by Security Level

1974 - 1985

Year	Maximum Or Medium Security	Minimum Security	Pre-Release	Total Population
1974	(15.2)	(55.2)	(79.9)	(21.9)
1975	(13.8)	(59.6)	(74.6)	(20.8)
1976	(7.6)	(44.9)	(55.9)	(14.7)
1977	(6.2)	(60.2)	(54.5)	(15.5)
1978	(4.5)	(54.2)	(64.6)	(16.8)
1979	(4.0)	(47.1)	(59.6)	(15.5)
1980	(2.0)	(43.7)	(66.2)	(14.1)
1981	(1.3)	(37.2)	(59.5)	(12.6)
1982	(0.5)	(24.0)	(37.9)	(8.8)
1983	(0.3)	(24.5)	(35.8)	(8.9)
1984	(0.5)	(28.7)	(39.8)	(10.1)
1985	(0.3)	(26.7)	(43.2)	(10.5)

minimum security population furloughed monthly was about half the rate of the minimum security population furloughed at the inception of the program, and the proportion furloughed from pre-release facilities dropped about 37 percentage points over the entire period. While the 1985 figure of 10 percent of the total institutional population represents one of the lowest percentages of monthly population furloughed since the inception of the program, it also reflects a slight increase over the rates in 1982 and 1983.

The tables that follow in Appendix II contain furlough frequencies and escape rates by characteristics of the population furloughed during 1985. Offense variables, personal background characteristics, criminal history and furlough experience were originally examined to determine whether characteristics of the individual could be identified that were indicative of escape risk. However, the small number of actual furlough escapes in 1985 (18 escapes out of 9,492 furloughs) rendered significance tests of difference in escape rates meaningless. Nonetheless the tables in Appendix II are informative in terms of the characteristics of inmates furloughed and the distribution of escape rates. It is important for the reader to note that the figures in these tables refer to the number of furloughs, not the number of individuals furloughed; hence, the characteristics of individuals receiving more than one furlough during the year weigh more heavily in the statistics than the attributes of inmates receiving a single furlough in 1985. While these tables are intended to be descriptive, the reader is cautioned that conclusions about individuals furloughed may be misleading.

FOOTNOTES

1. The Department of Correction furlough statistics define an "escape from furlough" in accordance with Department Order 467.1 entitled, "Furloughs - Rules and Regulations." According to Section 10.4 (c): "Failure of the resident to return to the correctional facility after the two hour period set forth in Section 10.4 (b) shall be considered an escape regardless of prior notification to the facility by the resident that he would be late. The superintendent or his designee shall notify, forthwith, appropriate law enforcement officials of the escape."
2. Several tables in this report present furlough statistics by the security level of the institution from which the furlough occurred. Five of the lower security institutions -- Plymouth, Warwick, Shirley, Lancaster and Longwood -- are mixed security. That is, they accept inmates in pre-release as well as minimum security status. Within these institutions offenders may move from minimum security classification to pre-release before discharge or release. The first four facilities officially started reporting the minimum security and pre-release populations separately in September 1983. The Longwood Treatment Center started reporting its population in March 1985.
3. The figures for 1972 represent totals for only two months of 1972. Therefore, the number of furloughs and individuals furloughed is much lower than subsequent years.
4. The figure for the total number of individuals furloughed since the inception of the program is not the sum of the individuals furloughed each year. Since the same individual may be furloughed in more than one year, adding the yearly totals for individuals participating in the program results in multiple counting of participants.
5. The figures in this table for the years 1973 through 1985 were taken from annual research reports, A Statistical Description of Releases from the Massachusetts Department of Correction, Massachusetts Department of Correction, Publication Numbers 89, 109, 117, 137, 163, 177, 204, 234, 242, 259, 273, 288 and 299.
6. The table which follows illustrates over the past five years the association between the two release trends: (1) the increasing proportion of women in the released population and (2) the decreasing rate of furloughs granted prior to release. Whereas the proportion of men furloughed prior to release remained more or less stable between 1980 and 1985, the proportion of women furloughed prior to release ranged from 12 percent in 1980 to five percent in 1985. Although the increasing presence of women in the released population does not entirely account for the decline in furlough rates, it appears to be a contributing factor.

Releases and Percent Furloughed by
Year Released and Sex of Released Offender

YEAR RELEASED	MEN			WOMEN		
	N	% of Releases	% Furloughed	N	% of Releases	% Furloughed
1980	799	(79)	(47)	216	(21)	(12)
1981	849	(76)	(51)	269	(24)	(8)
1982	962	(67)	(43)	478	(33)	(4)
1983	1405	(71)	(42)	571	(29)	(5)
1984	1468	(69)	(42)	657	(31)	(6)
1985	1616	(69)	(38)	715	(31)	(5)

7. To be consistent with earlier reports of average monthly population furloughed, MCI-Warwick, MCI-Plymouth and the Longwood Treatment Center were classified as minimum security, and MCI-Shirley and MCI-Lancaster were classified as pre-release. Retaining the former classification reduces the proportion furloughed from pre-release by about 10 percent. Compare the 1985 figures in Table VII with Table III.

APPENDIX I

**Furlough and Furlough Escape Rates
by Institution 1973 to 1984**

Comparative Furlough Statistics by Institution for Years 1973-1976

	1973		1974		1975		1976	
	Furloughs	Escape Rate						
<u>Maximum & Medium</u>								
Walpole	107	3.7	310	2.3	338	1.5	398	1.5
Concord	1254	3.5	923	4.2	812	3.3	430	0.7
Framingham	562	1.6	741	0.9	805	1.0	642	0.5
Norfolk	1811	2.2	1466	2.2	1200	1.2	741	0.7
SECC	244	5.3	283	1.4	196	3.1	165	0.6
RDC	----	---	4	0.0	2	0.0	---	---
TOTAL	3978	2.8	3727	2.4	3353	1.8	2376	0.8
<u>Minimum</u>								
Plymouth	451	1.1	416	1.0	500	1.6	385	0.8
Warwick	321	1.2	209	2.9	55	1.8	0	0.0
Monroe	342	0.6	306	2.3	274	1.8	233	3.4
Medfield	---	---	---	---	---	---	157	0.0
TOTAL	1114	1.0	931	1.8	829	1.7	775	1.4
<u>Pre-Release</u>								
Boston State	1333	0.6	2061	0.3	1804	0.3	1241	0.1
Shirley	709	0.8	494	2.4	715	1.3	574	0.9
Charlotte	61	0.0	86	2.3	83	0.0	126	0.8
Roxbury Multi-Service	--	---	130	0.0	444	0.0	80	0.0
Brooke House	--	---	422	0.2	509	0.0	578	0.0
Coolidge I	--	---	471	0.0	583	0.0	331	0.0
THP	---	---	---	---	1	0.0	150	0.0
Norfolk Pre-Release	---	---	---	---	96	0.0	334	0.0
577 House	---	---	---	---	85	0.0	619	0.0
Coolidge II	---	---	---	---	138	0.0	136	0.7
BOSP/METAC	---	---	---	---	40	0.0	203	0.5
Drug Rehab	---	---	---	---	--	---	14	0.0
South Middlesex	---	---	---	---	--	---	44	2.3
Lancaster	---	---	---	---	--	---	404	0.2
TOTAL	2103	0.7	3664	0.6	4498	0.3	4834	0.2
GRAND TOTAL	7195	1.9	8322	1.5	8680	1.0	7985	0.5

Comparative Furlough Statistics by Institution for Years 1977 - 1980

	1977		1978		1979		1980	
	Furloughs	Escape Rate						
<u>Maximum & Medium</u>								
Walpole	166	0.0	70	0.0	51	0.0	44	0.0
Concord	352	1.4	134	2.2	20	0.0	14	0.0
Framingham	775	1.0	788	0.3	676	0.9	306	1.3
Norfolk	560	0.2	238	0.0	223	0.9	230	0.4
SECC	233	1.7	210	1.4	232	0.9	168	0.0
NCCI	---	---	---	---	50	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	2086	0.9	1440	0.6	1252	0.8	762	0.7
<u>Minimum</u>								
Plymouth	413	0.2	398	0.0	400	0.2	539	0.4
Warwick	252	1.6	234	2.6	289	2.1	268	0.4
Monroe	223	2.7	52	7.7	---	---	---	---
Medfield	264	0.0	257	0.0	232	1.3	287	0.0
Bay State	168	1.2	945	0.3	732	0.1	741	0.1
NCC	---	---	418	0.7	646	0.6	695	0.1
TOTAL	1320	1.0	2304	0.7	2299	0.5	2530	0.2
<u>Pre-Release</u>								
Boston State	1073	0.2	1602	0.3	1279	0.3	1281	0.0
Shirley	295	1.0	352	0.6	421	0.7	524	0.4
Charlotte	103	0.0	97	0.0	108	0.0	130	0.8
Brooke House	272	0.0	419	0.0	283	0.0	316	0.3
Coolidge I	269	0.0	230	0.0	232	0.9	311	0.3
THP	154	0.0	59	0.0	193	1.0	148	0.0
Norfolk Pre-Release	309	0.3	348	0.0	269	0.0	346	0.0
577 House	786	0.2	538	0.0	277	0.7	257	0.0
Coolidge II	144	0.0	136	0.0	93	0.0	298	1.3
BOSP/METAC	220	0.0	148	0.0	---	---	---	---
Drug Rehab	55	0.0	18	0.0	1	0.0	27	0.0
South Middlesex	379	0.3	317	0.6	367	0.0	627	0.3
Lancaster	513	0.4	425	0.7	290	0.7	407	0.0
Park Drive	221	0.0	460	0.0	588	0.0	639	0.0
Western Ave/Hillside	---	---	169	0.2	88	0.0	51	5.9
TOTAL	4793	0.2	5318	0.2	4489	0.4	5362	0.3
GRAND TOTAL	8199	0.5	9062	0.4	8040	0.5	8654	0.3

Comparative Furlough Statistics by Institution for Years 1981 - 1984

	1981		1982		1983		1984	
	Furloughs	Escape Rate						
<u>Maximum & Medium</u>								
Walpole	27	0.0	34	0.0	14	0.0	23	0.0
Concord	20	0.0	28	0.0	18	0.0	15	0.0
Framingham	197	1.5	31	0.0	32	0.0	41	0.0
Norfolk	151	0.0	83	0.0	42	0.0	39	0.0
SECC	85	0.0	45	0.0	35	0.0	68	0.0
NCCI	3	0.0	8	0.0	16	0.0	34	0.0
TOTAL	483	0.6	229	0.0	157	0.0	220	0.0
<u>Minimum</u>								
Plymouth	431	0.9	403	0.5	391	0.0	336	0.3
Warwick	152	0.0	210	0.0	235	0.0	250	0.4
Medfield	366	0.3	245	0.0	212	0.0	189	0.0
Bay State	689	0.3	672	0.0	925	0.3	1116	0.0
NCC	555	0.0	365	0.8	403	1.2	496	0.0
TOTAL	2193	0.3	1895	0.3	2166	0.4	2387	0.1
<u>Pre-Release</u>								
Boston State	1055	0.3	528	0.0	427	0.0	568	0.0
Shirley	800	0.5	553	0.5	698	0.0	1034	0.0
Charlotte	15	0.0	---	---	13	0.0	221	0.0
Brooke House	561	0.4	197	1.0	295	0.0	336	0.0
Coolidge I	420	0.0	438	0.5	448	0.2	545	0.4
THP	385	0.3	275	0.0	298	1.7	397	0.3
Norfolk Pre-Release	226	0.0	180	0.0	237	0.0	397	0.0
577 House	331	0.6	251	0.0	161	0.0	234	0.0
Coolidge II	300	0.3	232	0.0	188	1.1	190	0.5
Drug Rehab	1	0.0	47	0.0	2	0.0	5	0.0
South Middlesex	383	0.5	295	0.0	334	0.3	433	0.7
Lancaster	515	0.8	532	0.0	656	0.3	636	0.0
Park Drive	331	0.0	341	0.0	367	0.3	343	0.3
Hillside	197	2.5	314	0.0	263	0.4	408	0.0
TOTAL	5520	0.4	4183	0.2	4387	0.3	5747	0.1
GRAND TOTAL	8196	0.4	6307	0.2	6710	0.3	8354	0.1

APPENDIX II

**Furlough Escape Rates by Furlough Variables, Offense Characteristics,
Personal Background, and Criminal History, 1985**

Furlough Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Type of Furlough</u>			
Earned	9329	(98)	0.2
Escorted	108	(1)	0.0
Emergency	55	(1)	0.0
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2
<u>Month Furloughed</u>			
January	621	(7)	0.0
February	676	(7)	0.3
March	728	(8)	0.0
April	801	(8)	0.1
May	831	(9)	0.1
June	822	(9)	0.1
July	773	(8)	0.4
August	856	(9)	0.6
September	724	(8)	0.4
October	695	(7)	0.1
November	981	(10)	0.0
December	984	(10)	0.1
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2
<u>Hours Furloughed</u>			
6 or Less	596	(6)	0.0
7 to 12	2113	(22)	0.0
13 to 18	625	(7)	0.2
19 to 24	2103	(22)	0.0
25 to 30	659	(7)	0.5
31 to 36	1145	(12)	0.0
37 to 42	192	(2)	0.0
43 to 48	1536	(16)	0.1
More Than 48	513	(5)	0.4
Escape or Arrest	10	(0)	100.0
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

**Present Offense
Variables**

	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Commitment Institution</u>			
Walpole	6826	(72)	0.2
Concord	2271	(24)	0.2
Framingham	361	(4)	0.0
Longwood	34	(0)	0.0
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Jail Credits

None	2577	(27)	0.1
1 to 10 days	1048	(11)	0.4
11 to 50 days	1343	(14)	0.1
51 to 100 days	926	(10)	0.0
101 to 150 days	822	(9)	0.5
151 to 200 days	812	(9)	0.5
Over 200 days	1964	(21)	0.2
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Present Offense - General Categories

Person	5889	(62)	0.2
Sex	1194	(13)	0.3
Property	1281	(13)	0.2
Drug	833	(9)	0.0
Other	295	(3)	0.3
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Present Offense Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
----------------------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------------

<u>Present Offense - Person</u>			
Not Applicable	3603	(38)	0.2
Murder - 1	520	(6)	0.0
Murder - 2	1268	(13)	0.2
Manslaughter	598	(6)	0.2
Assault w/intent to Murder	231	(2)	0.4
Armed Robbery	1962	(21)	0.2
Unarmed Robbery	435	(5)	0.2
Armed Assault	650	(7)	0.3
Unarmed Assault	72	(1)	0.0
Put in Fear	29	(0)	0.0
Mayhem	9	(0)	0.0
Extortion	24	(0)	0.0
Kidnapping	61	(1)	0.0
Conspiracy	9	(0)	0.0
Vehicular Homicide	21	(0)	0.0
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2
<u>Present Offense - Sex</u>			
Not Applicable	8298	(87)	0.2
Rape	606	(6)	0.3
Assault/Rape	107	(1)	0.0
Rape of Minor	375	(4)	0.3
Assault/Rape of Minor	93	(1)	0.0
Sodomy	13	(0)	0.0
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Present Offense Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
----------------------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------------

Present Offense - Property

Not Applicable	8211	(87)	0.2
Arson	123	(1)	0.0
Burglary - Armed	168	(2)	0.6
Burglary	641	(7)	0.2
Possession of Tools	61	(1)	0.0
Larceny from Person	7	(0)	0.0
Larceny	160	(2)	0.0
Vehicle Theft	22	(0)	0.0
Forgery and Uttering	21	(0)	0.0
Stolen Goods	44	(0)	0.0
Property Injuries	15	(0)	0.0
Fraud	15	(0)	0.0
Stealing/Theft	4	(0)	0.0
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Present Offense - Drug

Not Applicable	8659	(91)	0.2
Sale of Heroin	10	(0)	0.0
Sale of Narcotic	2	(0)	0.0
Controlled Substance	212	(2)	0.0
Class - A	164	(2)	0.0
Class - B	409	(4)	0.0
Class - C and D	4	(0)	0.0
Possession of Drug	32	(0)	0.0
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Present Offense Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Present Offense - Other</u>			
Not Applicable	9197	(97)	0.2
Escape	28	(0)	0.0
Weapons Offense	150	(2)	0.0
Disturbing the Peace	1	(0)	0.0
Operating Under the Influence	95	(1)	0.0
Pimping	5	(0)	0.0
Other	16	(0)	6.3
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Minimum Sentence

1 Year	26	(0)	0.0
2 Years	78	(1)	0.0
3 Years	579	(6)	0.3
4 Years	433	(5)	0.2
5 Years	709	(8)	0.1
6 Years	610	(6)	0.2
7 Years	439	(5)	0.0
8 Years	435	(5)	0.2
9 Years	320	(3)	0.0
10 Years	458	(5)	0.0
11 to 12 Years	289	(3)	0.7
13 to 15 Years	340	(4)	0.6
16 to 19 Years	199	(2)	0.0
20 Years	65	(1)	0.0
21 or More Years	122	(1)	0.0
Life	1882	(20)	0.2
Indeterminate	2508	(26)	0.2
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Present Offense Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Maximum Sentence</u>			
Less Than 1 Year	115	(1)	0.9
1 Year	107	(1)	0.0
2 Years	237	(3)	0.0
3 Years	42	(0)	0.0
4 Years	8	(0)	0.0
5 Years	777	(8)	0.5
6 Years	165	(2)	0.0
7 Years	576	(6)	0.2
8 Years	171	(2)	0.0
9 Years	130	(1)	0.0
10 Years	2199	(23)	0.1
11 to 12 Years	557	(6)	0.0
13 to 15 Years	781	(8)	0.1
16 to 19 Years	205	(2)	0.5
20 Years	1098	(12)	0.2
21 or More Years	437	(5)	0.5
Life	1887	(20)	0.2
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2
<u>Age at Incarceration</u>			
Sixteen	24	(0)	0.0
Seventeen	56	(1)	0.0
Eighteen	303	(3)	0.7
Nineteen	598	(6)	0.0
Twenty	701	(7)	0.3
Twenty-One	644	(7)	0.3
Twenty-Two	552	(6)	0.5
Twenty-Three	655	(7)	0.0
Twenty-Four	437	(5)	0.0
Twenty-Five	549	(6)	0.4
26 to 29	1920	(20)	0.2
30 to 39	2104	(22)	0.1
40 or Older	949	(10)	0.1
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Personal Background Variables

	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Race/Ethnicity</u>			
White	6221	(65)	0.2
Black	2541	(27)	0.3
Hispanic	721	(8)	0.0
Other	9	(0)	0.0
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Marital Status

Married	2418	(25)	0.1
Single	5188	(55)	0.2
Divorced	1018	(11)	0.2
Widowed	128	(1)	0.0
Common Law	11	(0)	0.0
Separated	729	(8)	0.1
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Military Discharge

No Service	6798	(72)	0.3
Honorable	1215	(13)	0.1
Dishonorable	9	(0)	0.0
Bad Conduct	292	(3)	0.0
Medical	64	(1)	0.0
Discharge Unknown	517	(5)	0.0
Unknown	597	(6)	0.0
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

**Personal Background
Variables**

Number Percent Escape Rate

Prior Address - Selected Towns

Boston	2976	(31)	0.2
Brockton	107	(1)	0.0
Cambridge	170	(2)	0.0
Fall River	174	(2)	0.0
Framingham	101	(1)	0.0
Holyoke	100	(1)	0.0
Lawrence	145	(2)	0.7
Lowell	211	(2)	0.0
Lynn	222	(2)	0.0
New Bedford	121	(1)	1.7
Quincy	112	(1)	0.0
Somerville	187	(2)	0.0
Springfield	689	(7)	0.4
Worcester	493	(5)	0.0
Other Mass. Towns	3226	(34)	0.2
Out of State	458	(5)	0.0
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Prior Address - County

Worcester	937	(10)	0.1
Franklin	46	(0)	0.0
Middlesex	1496	(16)	0.1
Suffolk	3200	(34)	0.2
Norfolk	470	(5)	0.0
Bristol	539	(6)	0.4
Plymouth	316	(3)	0.3
Essex	830	(9)	0.2
Hampshire	65	(1)	0.0
Hampden	919	(10)	0.3
Berkshire	103	(1)	0.0
Barnstable	106	(1)	0.0
Nantucket	7	(0)	0.0
Out of State	458	(5)	0.0
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Personal Background

Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Prior Address - SMSA</u>			
Boston	5256	(55)	0.2
Brockton	190	(2)	0.0
Fall River	203	(2)	0.0
Fitchburg-Leominster	101	(1)	1.0
Lawrence-Haverhill	304	(3)	0.7
Lowell	299	(3)	0.3
New Bedford	164	(2)	1.2
Pittsfield	53	(1)	0.0
Providence-Pawtucket-Warwick	54	(1)	0.0
Springfield	939	(10)	0.3
Worcester	657	(7)	0.0
Other Mass. Places	814	(9)	0.1
Out of State	458	(5)	0.0
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Time at Most Skilled Position

Less Than One Month	499	(5)	0.0
1-2 Months	520	(6)	0.0
3-4 Months	954	(10)	0.3
5-6 Months	610	(6)	0.3
7-9 Months	505	(5)	0.2
10-12 Months	674	(7)	0.1
1-2 Years	1204	(13)	0.3
2-5 Years	1420	(15)	0.1
More Than 5 Years	908	(10)	0.0
Unknown	2198	(23)	0.3
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Personal Background Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Occupation</u>			
Professional-Technical	271	(3)	0.0
Business	404	(4)	0.0
Sales, Clerical	602	(6)	0.0
Manual	4066	(43)	0.2
Services	2298	(24)	0.2
Agriculture	22	(0)	0.0
Armed Services	40	(0)	0.0
Not Employed	309	(3)	0.0
Unknown	1480	(16)	0.3
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2
<u>Time at Job of Longest Duration</u>			
Less Than One Month	466	(5)	0.0
1-2 Months	384	(4)	0.0
3-4 Months	923	(10)	0.3
5-6 Months	669	(7)	0.3
7-9 Months	516	(5)	0.2
10-12 Months	667	(7)	0.1
1-2 Years	1199	(13)	0.3
2-5 Years	1561	(16)	0.1
More Than 5 Years	949	(10)	0.0
Unknown	2158	(23)	0.3
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Personal Background Variables

	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Last Grade Completed</u>			
Sixth or Less	442	(5)	0.2
Seventh	415	(4)	0.2
Eighth	772	(8)	0.3
Ninth	1168	(12)	0.3
Tenth	1166	(12)	0.1
Eleventh	930	(10)	0.3
High School Graduate	3162	(33)	0.1
Some College	490	(5)	0.0
College Graduate	351	(4)	0.0
Unknown	596	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Known Drug Use

None	5618	(59)	0.1
Non-Specific	554	(6)	0.4
Heroin	1215	(13)	0.3
Marijuana	531	(6)	0.0
Other	600	(6)	0.2
Unknown	974	(10)	0.3
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

**Criminal History
Variables**

	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Total Number of Court Appearances</u>			
First Offense	511	(5)	0.0
Two	644	(7)	0.2
Three	634	(7)	0.2
Four	426	(4)	0.2
Five	480	(5)	0.0
6 to 9	1861	(20)	0.1
10 to 14	1778	(19)	0.2
15 to 20	1126	(12)	0.2
More Than 20	1485	(16)	0.3
Unknown	547	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2
<u>Charges for Person Offenses</u>			
None	838	(9)	0.0
One	1421	(15)	0.0
Two	1140	(12)	0.2
Three	968	(10)	0.1
Four	796	(8)	0.4
Five	768	(8)	0.3
6 to 8	1399	(15)	0.0
More Than Eight	1615	(17)	0.4
Unknown	547	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

**Criminal History
Variables**

	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Charges for Property Offenses</u>			
None	2025	(21)	0.0
One	885	(9)	0.2
Two	576	(6)	0.2
Three	610	(6)	0.3
Four	552	(6)	0.0
Five	518	(6)	0.2
6 to 8	1039	(11)	0.1
More Than Eight	2740	(29)	0.3
Unknown	547	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Charges for Sex Offenses

None	6957	(73)	0.1
One	905	(10)	0.2
Two	497	(5)	0.4
Three	209	(2)	0.5
Four	147	(1)	0.0
Five	60	(1)	0.0
6 to 8	98	(1)	0.0
More Than Eight	72	(1)	0.0
Unknown	547	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Indicator of Juvenile Commitment

No	7382	(78)	0.1
Yes	1563	(16)	0.4
Unknown	547	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Criminal History Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
-----------------------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------------

<u>Charges for Drug Offenses</u>			
None	5123	(54)	0.2
One	1080	(11)	0.2
Two	748	(8)	0.4
Three	510	(5)	0.4
Four	397	(4)	0.0
Five	252	(3)	0.0
6 to 8	399	(4)	0.0
More Than Eight	436	(5)	0.0
Unknown	547	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

<u>Charges for Alcohol Offenses</u>			
None	6398	(67)	0.2
One	1272	(13)	0.2
Two	543	(6)	0.2
Three	247	(3)	0.0
Four	138	(2)	0.0
Five	129	(1)	0.0
6 to 8	116	(1)	0.9
More Than Eight	102	(1)	0.0
Unknown	547	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

<u>Charges for Escape Offenses</u>			
None	8471	(89)	0.1
One	374	(4)	0.5
Two	52	(1)	0.0
Three	15	(0)	6.7
Four or More	33	(0)	0.0
Unknown	547	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Criminal History Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
-----------------------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------------

<u>Prior County Incarcerations</u>			
None	5712	(60)	0.1
One	1602	(17)	0.2
Two	766	(8)	0.4
Three	442	(5)	0.2
Four	240	(2)	0.8
Five	89	(1)	0.0
Six or More	94	(1)	0.0
Unknown	547	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

<u>Prior State or Federal Incarcerations</u>			
None	6911	(73)	0.2
One	1309	(14)	0.3
Two	417	(4)	0.0
Three	125	(1)	0.0
Four	88	(1)	0.0
Five	41	(0)	0.0
Six or More	54	(1)	0.0
Unknown	547	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

<u>Number of Juvenile Paroles</u>			
None	8011	(84)	0.1
One	445	(5)	0.2
Two	192	(2)	2.1
Three	180	(2)	0.0
Four or More	117	(1)	0.0
Unknown	547	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

Criminal History Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Total Prior Adult Incarcerations</u>			
None	4763	(50)	0.1
One	1780	(19)	0.2
Two	1040	(11)	0.2
Three	559	(6)	0.2
Four	314	(3)	0.6
Five	213	(2)	0.5
Six or More	276	(3)	0.0
Unknown	547	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2
<u>Juvenile Parole Violations</u>			
Never Paroled	8011	(84)	0.1
None	489	(5)	0.2
One	212	(2)	1.9
Two	128	(1)	0.0
Three or More	105	(1)	0.0
Unknown	547	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2
<u>Number of Adult Paroles</u>			
None	7343	(77)	0.1
One	1145	(12)	0.3
Two	262	(3)	0.0
Three	133	(1)	0.0
Four or More	62	(1)	0.0
Unknown	547	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9495	(100)	0.2

Criminal History Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Adult Parole Violations</u>			
Never Paroled	7343	(77)	0.1
None	574	(6)	0.3
One	819	(9)	0.2
Two	147	(1)	0.0
Three or More	62	(1)	0.0
Unknown	547	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2
<u>Total Number of Paroles</u>			
None	6857	(72)	0.1
One	1044	(11)	0.4
Two	520	(6)	0.6
Three	238	(2)	0.4
Four or More	286	(3)	0.0
Unknown	547	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2
<u>Total Number of Parole Violations</u>			
Never Paroled	6857	(72)	0.1
None	786	(8)	0.3
One	847	(9)	0.7
Two	231	(2)	0.0
Three	93	(1)	0.0
Four or More	131	(1)	0.0
Unknown	547	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

**Criminal History
Variables**

	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Age at First Court Appearance</u>			
Twelve or younger	905	(10)	0.3
Thirteen	553	(6)	0.2
Fourteen	784	(8)	0.3
Fifteen	902	(10)	0.7
Sixteen	845	(9)	0.1
Seventeen	1272	(13)	0.0
Eighteen	858	(9)	0.1
Nineteen	484	(5)	0.0
Twenty	366	(4)	0.3
Twenty-one	296	(3)	0.0
Twenty-two	268	(3)	0.0
Twenty-three	167	(2)	0.0
Twenty-four	201	(2)	0.0
Twenty-five	103	(1)	0.0
26 to 29	254	(3)	0.0
30 to 39	479	(5)	0.0
40 or Older	153	(2)	0.0
Unknown	602	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2

**Criminal History
Variables**

	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
<u>Age at First Alcohol Court Appearance</u>			
Not Applicable	6365	(67)	0.2
8 to 14	29	(0)	0.0
15 to 17	479	(5)	0.6
18 to 19	605	(6)	0.0
20 to 21	436	(5)	0.2
22 to 24	519	(6)	0.0
25 to 29	215	(2)	0.0
30 to 39	176	(2)	0.7
40 or Older	66	(1)	0.0
Unknown	602	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2
<u>Age at First Drug Court Appearance</u>			
Not Applicable	5078	(54)	0.2
8 to 14	96	(1)	0.0
15 to 17	721	(8)	0.3
18 to 19	970	(10)	0.1
20 to 21	604	(6)	0.0
22 to 24	629	(7)	0.3
25 to 29	462	(5)	0.2
30 to 39	241	(2)	0.0
40 or Older	89	(1)	0.0
Unknown	602	(6)	0.5
TOTAL	9492	(100)	0.2